



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,578	08/09/2001	Bruce Leroy Beukema	AUS920010473US1	3040

35525 7590 09/26/2005

IBM CORP (YA)
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC
P.O. BOX 802333
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

WALSH, JOHN B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2151

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/925,578	Applicant(s) BEUKEMA ET AL.	
	Examiner John B. Walsh	Art Unit 2151	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John B. Walsh. (3) _____
 (2) Wayne Bailey. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 5,898,687 to Harriman et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed some of the differences between the claimed invention and Harriman '687. Those differences included Harriman '687 is drawn to a switch not an endpoint, which results in functional differences and figure 3 of Harriman '687 shows queue pairs that are a mix of both multicast and unicast and therefore do not meet the limitation of claim 1, step 2 "identifying a plurality of queue pairs that are members of the multicast group". The applicant was planning on presenting these arguments in the response to the previous office action. The examiner will consider these points more thoroughly at that time. The applicant's representative also expressed a desire to resolve any outstanding issues via telephone in the future in order to expedite prosecution .